WEST BENGAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL

Present-

The Hon'bleMrs.UrmitaDatta (Sen), Member(J) The Hon'bleMr. P. Ramesh Kumar, Member (A)

Case No <u>- OA-810 of 2017.</u>

	Sujit Dalui. <u>Vs</u> The State of West Bengal& Others.	
Serial No. and Date of order.1	Order of the Tribunalwith signature 2	Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessary 3
	For the Applicant : Mr. N. N. Roy, Advocate.	3
07		
07-03-2019	For the State Respondents : None.	
	Affidavit of service has been filed be kept on	
	record. None for the respondent.	
	The instant application has been filed praying	
	for a direction upon the respondent to appoint the	
	applicant on compassionate ground. As per the	
	applicant, his father died on 03-01-2015 while working	
	as Work Charged employee of Burdwan South Highway	
	Division under Western Highway Circle No. 1, PW	
	(Roads) Directorate. Thereafter, he applied for	
	compassionate appointment before the authority and	
	the immediate authority have processed his case for	
	final decision and the competent authority vide order	
	dated 29-06-2017 (Annexure-D) had rejected the prayer	
	of the applicant on the following grounds :-	
	"It is seen from the reports	
	submitted by the Executive Engineer,	
	Burdwan South Highway Division that	
	Late Dibakar Dalui was an employee of	
	Burdwan South Highway Division,	

	Sujit Dalui
Form No.	

Vs.

The State of West Bengal & Others.

ase No. OA-810 of 201		Office and the distribution
Serial No. and	Order of the Tribunalwith signature	Office action with date and dated signature
Date of order.	2	of parties when necessar
1		3
	Public Works Directorate under Work	
	Charged Establishment. He was not	
	absorbed against regular vacancy and	
	did not brought under regular	
	establishment in consonance with the	
	provisions as land down in Government	
	Order No. 4026-F dated 22-04-1974 till	
	the date of his death. Services	
	rendered as work charged Employee	
	cannot be treated as regular service in	
	Government employment. As per	
	prevalent rules issued by the	
	Government in this regard that	
	compassionate appointment shall be	
	offered to a dependent family member	
	of a deceased Government employee	
	who is appointed on regular basis	
	against regular vacancy. At Para (2) of	
	the Notification No. 251-Emp dated 03-	
	12-2013 of the Labour Department,	
	Government of West Bengal, it is	
	stated that the West Bengal Scheme	
	for compassionate appointment "shall	
	apply to a dependent family member of	
	a Government Employee" and it	
	is clarified at Para 3(f) of the said	
	notification. "Government employee	
	for the purpose of the scheme means a	

	Sujit Dalui
orm No.	

Vs. The State of West Bengal & Others.

Case No. OA-810 of	<u>2017.</u>	
Serial No. and Date of order. 1	Order of the Tribunalwith signature 2	Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessary
	Government employee appointed on	
	regular basis and not the one working	
	on daily wage or casual or apprentice	
	or ad-hoc or contract or re-	
	employment basis".	
	In view of the said facts, it is	
	concluded that, the primary condition	
	for having employment of	
	compassionate ground as stipulated in	
	Labour Department's order nos. Issued	
	time to time was not fulfilled in the	
	instant case. Thus, the prayer of the	
	applicant is considered, but rejected,	
	due to the reason stated above".	
	Being aggrieved with, the applicant has	
	filed the instant application.	
	During the course of the hearing the Counsel	
	for the applicant on query made by us, has fairly	
	submitted that as a work charged employee, who is	
	not in a regular establishment, is not entitled for	
	compassionate appointment. However the	
	respondent should consider his case on compassionate ground.	

	Sujit Dalui
Form No.	

Vs.

The State of West Bengal & Others.

Case No. OA-810 of 2017.

Serial No. and Date of order. 1 Heard the Counsel for the applicant and perused the impugned order. It is clear that the case of the applicant was rejected on the ground being dependent of work charged employee. As in the scheme of the State Government there is no provision for consideration on compassionate appointment for dependent of work charged employee. His case cannot be considered for compassionate appointment as has been held by the Hon'ble Apex Court on the same issue. Therefore we do not find any prima facie case in the instant application for challenging the impugned order. Accordingly, the OA is dismissed being devoid of any merit in the initial stage with no order as to cost. Plain copy. P. RAMESH KUMAR URMITA DATTA (SEN) MEMBER(J) Mihir	Case No. <u>OA-810 o</u>	<u>f 2017.</u>	
Heard the Counsel for the applicant and perused the impugned order. It is clear that the case of the applicant was rejected on the ground being dependent of work charged employee. As in the scheme of the State Government there is no provision for consideration on compassionate appointment for dependent of work charged employee, who not being a government employee. His case cannot be considered for compassionate appointment as has been held by the Hon'ble Apex Court on the same issue. Therefore we do not find any prima facie case in the instant application for challenging the impugned order. Accordingly, the OA is dismissed being devoid of any merit in the initial stage with no order as to cost. Plain copy. P. RAMESH KUMAR MEMBER(J) MEMBER(A) WEMITA DATTA (SEN) MEMBER(J)	Serial No. and	Order of the Tribunalwith signature	
Heard the Counsel for the applicant and perused the impugned order. It is clear that the case of the applicant was rejected on the ground being dependent of work charged employee. As in the scheme of the State Government there is no provision for consideration on compassionate appointment for dependent of work charged employee, who not being a government employee. His case cannot be considered for compassionate appointment as has been held by the Hon'ble Apex Court on the same issue. Therefore we do not find any prima facie case in the instant application for challenging the impugned order. Accordingly, the OA is dismissed being devoid of any merit in the initial stage with no order as to cost. Plain copy. P. RAMESH KUMAR URMITA DATTA (SEN) MEMBER(A) MEMBER(J)	Date of order.	2	_
perused the impugned order. It is clear that the case of the applicant was rejected on the ground being dependent of work charged employee. As in the scheme of the State Government there is no provision for consideration on compassionate appointment for dependent of work charged employee, who not being a government employee. His case cannot be considered for compassionate appointment as has been held by the Hon'ble Apex Court on the same issue. Therefore we do not find any prima facie case in the instant application for challenging the impugned order. Accordingly, the OA is dismissed being devoid of any merit in the initial stage with no order as to cost. Plain copy. P. RAMESH KUMAR URMITA DATTA (SEN) MEMBER(J)	1		
of the applicant was rejected on the ground being dependent of work charged employee. As in the scheme of the State Government there is no provision for consideration on compassionate appointment for dependent of work charged employee, who not being a government employee. His case cannot be considered for compassionate appointment as has been held by the Hon'ble Apex Court on the same issue. Therefore we do not find any prima facic case in the instant application for challenging the impugned order. Accordingly, the OA is dismissed being devoid of any merit in the initial stage with no order as to cost. Plain copy. P. RAMESH KUMAR MEMBER(J) MEMBER(J)		Heard the Counsel for the applicant and	
dependent of work charged employee. As in the scheme of the State Government there is no provision for consideration on compassionate appointment for dependent of work charged employee, who not being a government employee. His case cannot be considered for compassionate appointment as has been held by the Hon'ble Apex Court on the same issue. Therefore we do not find any prima facie case in the instant application for challenging the impugned order. Accordingly, the OA is dismissed being devoid of any merit in the initial stage with no order as to cost. Plain copy. P. RAMESH KUMAR URMITA DATTA (SEN) MEMBER(J)		perused the impugned order. It is clear that the case	
scheme of the State Government there is no provision for consideration on compassionate appointment for dependent of work charged employee, who not being a government employee. His case cannot be considered for compassionate appointment as has been held by the Hon'ble Apex Court on the same issue. Therefore we do not find any prima facie case in the instant application for challenging the impugned order. Accordingly, the OA is dismissed being devoid of any merit in the initial stage with no order as to cost. Plain copy. P. RAMESH KUMAR URMITA DATTA (SEN) MEMBER(J)		of the applicant was rejected on the ground being	
provision for consideration on compassionate appointment for dependent of work charged employee, who not being a government employee. His case cannot be considered for compassionate appointment as has been held by the Hon'ble Apex Court on the same issue. Therefore we do not find any prima facie case in the instant application for challenging the impugned order. Accordingly, the OA is dismissed being devoid of any merit in the initial stage with no order as to cost. Plain copy. P. RAMESH KUMAR URMITA DATTA (SEN) MEMBER(A) MEMBER(J)		dependent of work charged employee. As in the	
appointment for dependent of work charged employee, who not being a government employee. His case cannot be considered for compassionate appointment as has been held by the Hon'ble Apex Court on the same issue. Therefore we do not find any prima facie case in the instant application for challenging the impugned order. Accordingly, the OA is dismissed being devoid of any merit in the initial stage with no order as to cost. Plain copy. P. RAMESH KUMAR URMITA DATTA (SEN) MEMBER(A) MEMBER(J)		scheme of the State Government there is no	
employee, who not being a government employee. His case cannot be considered for compassionate appointment as has been held by the Hon'ble Apex Court on the same issue. Therefore we do not find any prima facie case in the instant application for challenging the impugned order. Accordingly, the OA is dismissed being devoid of any merit in the initial stage with no order as to cost. Plain copy. P. RAMESH KUMAR URMITA DATTA (SEN) MEMBER(J)		provision for consideration on compassionate	
His case cannot be considered for compassionate appointment as has been held by the Hon'ble Apex Court on the same issue. Therefore we do not find any prima facie case in the instant application for challenging the impugned order. Accordingly, the OA is dismissed being devoid of any merit in the initial stage with no order as to cost. Plain copy. P. RAMESH KUMAR URMITA DATTA (SEN) MEMBER(A) MEMBER(J)		appointment for dependent of work charged	
appointment as has been held by the Hon'ble Apex Court on the same issue. Therefore we do not find any prima facie case in the instant application for challenging the impugned order. Accordingly, the OA is dismissed being devoid of any merit in the initial stage with no order as to cost. Plain copy. P. RAMESH KUMAR MEMBER(A) WRMITA DATTA (SEN) MEMBER(J)		employee, who not being a government employee.	
Therefore we do not find any prima facie case in the instant application for challenging the impugned order. Accordingly, the OA is dismissed being devoid of any merit in the initial stage with no order as to cost. Plain copy. P. RAMESH KUMAR URMITA DATTA (SEN) MEMBER(A) MEMBER(J)		His case cannot be considered for compassionate	
Therefore we do not find any prima facie case in the instant application for challenging the impugned order. Accordingly, the OA is dismissed being devoid of any merit in the initial stage with no order as to cost. Plain copy. P. RAMESH KUMAR URMITA DATTA (SEN) MEMBER(A) MEMBER(J)		appointment as has been held by the Hon'ble Apex	
case in the instant application for challenging the impugned order. Accordingly, the OA is dismissed being devoid of any merit in the initial stage with no order as to cost. Plain copy. P. RAMESH KUMAR URMITA DATTA (SEN) MEMBER(A) MEMBER(J)		Court on the same issue.	
case in the instant application for challenging the impugned order. Accordingly, the OA is dismissed being devoid of any merit in the initial stage with no order as to cost. Plain copy. P. RAMESH KUMAR URMITA DATTA (SEN) MEMBER(A) MEMBER(J)			
impugned order. Accordingly, the OA is dismissed being devoid of any merit in the initial stage with no order as to cost. Plain copy. P. RAMESH KUMAR URMITA DATTA (SEN) MEMBER(A) MEMBER(J)		Therefore we do not find any prima facie	
being devoid of any merit in the initial stage with no order as to cost. Plain copy. P. RAMESH KUMAR URMITA DATTA (SEN) MEMBER(A) MEMBER(J)		case in the instant application for challenging the	
order as to cost. Plain copy. P. RAMESH KUMAR URMITA DATTA (SEN) MEMBER(A) MEMBER(J)		impugned order. Accordingly, the OA is dismissed	
Plain copy. P. RAMESH KUMAR URMITA DATTA (SEN) MEMBER(A) MEMBER(J)		being devoid of any merit in the initial stage with no	
P. RAMESH KUMAR URMITA DATTA (SEN) MEMBER(A) MEMBER(J)		order as to cost.	
P. RAMESH KUMAR URMITA DATTA (SEN) MEMBER(A) MEMBER(J)			
MEMBER(A) MEMBER(J)		Plain copy.	
MEMBER(A) MEMBER(J)			
MEMBER(A) MEMBER(J)			
MEMBER(A) MEMBER(J)			
Mihir		MEMBER(J)	
Mihir			
IVIIIII	Mihir		
	IVIIIII		

	Sujit Dalui
Form No.	••••
	Vs.
	The State of West Bengal & Others.

Serial No. and	Order of the Tribunalwith signature	Office action with date
Date of order.	2	and dated signature
1	-	of parties when necessa
<u> </u>		3